UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,445	03/26/2004	Cathleen von Lehe	EV31009US	8954
POPOVICH, WILES & O'CONNELL, PA 650 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH EXAMINER NGUYEN, VI X				
SUITE 600 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/810,445	LEHE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Victor X. Nguyen	3734	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2004.		
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	6
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers	·		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	tion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
	•			
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar		
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3734

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species. The species of 1 in figures 1-7; the species of 2 in figures 8-9; the species of 3 in figures 10-11; the species of 4 in figures 12,12a; the species of 5 in figures 13-15; the species of 6 in figures 16-17; the species of 7 in figure 18; the species of 8 in figures 19,19a; the species of 9 in figures 19b,c.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including

Art Unit: 3734

any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3734

VN 7/25/2007

> (JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO SUPERVISORY PATENT SYAMINER

Josephelis

8/6/07